Notes 1

CONVEX FUNCTIONS

A function f defined in an interval I is called a *convex function* if it satisfies

$$f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \le (1-\lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y), \ \forall x, y \in I, \lambda \in [0,1].$$

Observe that $z = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y$ is a point on the line segment connecting x and y. As λ increases from 0 to 1, z runs from x to y. The line segment in \mathbb{R}^2 connecting (x, f(x)) and (y, f(y)) is given by the graph of the linear function

$$l(z) = \left(\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}\right)(z - x) + f(x) = \left(\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}\right)(z - y) + f(y).$$

It is readily checked that f is convex if and only if

$$f(z) \le l(z),$$

for any z lying between x and y. (Here l depends on x and y). This condition has a clear geometric meaning. Namely, the line segment connecting (x, f(x))and (y, f(y)) always lies above the graph of f over the interval with endpoints x and y.

Proposition 1.1. Let f be defined in an open interval I. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) f is convex on I
- (b) for $x, y, z \in I$, with x < z < y,

$$\frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \le \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x},\tag{1.1}$$

(c) for $x, y, z \in I$, with x < z < y,

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \le \frac{f(y) - f(z)}{y - z}.$$
(1.2)

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume f is convex. Let x < y be two points in I. Each z in [x, y] can be expressed in the form $z = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y$ for a unique $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By

the definition of convexity we have

$$\frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} = \frac{f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) - f(x)}{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y - x}$$
$$\leq \frac{(1 - \lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y) - f(x)}{\lambda(y - x)}$$
$$= \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x},$$

and (1.1) follows. Similarly (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume (ii) holds. Let $x, y \in I$ with x < y, and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Let $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$. Then (1.1) implies

$$(y-x)(f(z) - f(x)) \le (z-x)(f(y) - f(x)),$$

i.e.

$$(y-x)f(z) \le (y-z)f(x) + (z-x)f(y),$$

or

$$f(z) \le \frac{y-z}{y-x}f(x) + \frac{z-x}{y-x}f(y).$$

Since $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, we have

$$\frac{y-z}{y-x} = \lambda$$
 and $\frac{z-x}{y-x} = 1 - \lambda$.

So the above implies

$$f(z) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y),$$

and hence f is convex. Similarly (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

The geometric meaning of the first inequality is that if we let l_x be the line segment connecting $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and (x, f(x)) for $x > x_0$. Then the slope of l_x increases as x increases. For (2), considering now $x < x_0$, then the slope of l_x increases as x increases to x_0 . Using these properties, we immediately obtain

Proposition 1.2. Let f be convex on I. Then for x < z < y in I,

$$\frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \le \frac{f(y) - f(z)}{y - z}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \leq \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$$
$$\leq \frac{f(y) - f(z)}{y - z}$$

after using (1.1) and then (1.2).

Exercise: Show that the converse of the above Proposition is also true.

Theorem 1.3. Every convex function f on the open interval I has right and left derivatives, and they satisfy

$$f'_{-}(x) \le f'_{+}(x), \ \forall x \in I,$$
 (1.3)

and

$$f'_{+}(x) \le f'_{-}(y), \ \forall x < y \ in \ I.$$
 (1.4)

In particular, f is continuous in I.

Proof. From Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 the function

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}, \ t > x,$$

is increasing and is bounded below by $(f(x) - f(x_0))/(x - x_0)$, where x_0 is any fixed point in I satisfying $x_0 < x$. It follows that $\lim_{t\to x^+} \varphi(t)$ exists. In other words, $f'_+(x)$ exists. Notice that we still have

$$f'_{+}(x) \ge \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0},$$

after passing to limit. As the quotient in the right hand side is increasing as x_0 increases to x, by (1.2), we conclude that

$$\lim_{x_0 \to x^-} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} = f'_-(x)$$

exists and (1.3)

$$f'_{+}(x) \ge f'_{-}(x)$$

holds. After proving that the right and left derivatives of f exist everywhere in I, we let $z \to x^+$ in (1.1) to get

$$f'_{+}(x) \le \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x};$$

and let $z \to y^-$ in (1.2) to get

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \le f'_{-}(y),$$

whence (1.4) follows.

Theorem 1.4. Every convex function on I is differentiable except possibly at a countable set.

Proof. Noting that every interval I can be written as the union of countably many closed and bounded intervals, it suffices to show there are at most countably many non-differentiable points in any closed and bounded interval [a, b] strictly contained inside I. Fix a small $\delta > 0$ so that $[a-\delta, b+\delta] \subset I$. Since f is continuous in $[a-\delta, b+\delta]$, it is bounded in $[a-\delta, b+\delta]$. Let $M \ge |f(x)|, \forall x \in [a-\delta, b+\delta]$. By convexity

$$f'_{+}(b) \le \frac{f(b+\delta) - f(b)}{(b+\delta) - b} \le \frac{2M}{\delta},$$

and

$$f'_{-}(a) \ge \frac{f(a) - f(a - \delta)}{a - (a - \delta)} \ge \frac{-2M}{\delta}$$

As a result, for $x \in [a, b]$,

$$f'_{-}(a) \le f'_{\pm}(x) \le f'_{+}(b),$$

and the estimate

$$\frac{-2M}{\delta} \le f'_{\pm}(x) \le \frac{2M}{\delta}.$$

holds. Non-differentiable points in [a, b] belong to the set

$$D = \{x : f'_{+}(x) - f'_{-}(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_{k},$$

where $D_k = \{x : f'_+(x) - f'_-(x) \ge \frac{1}{k}\}$. We claim that each D_k is a finite set. To see this let us pick *n* many points from $D_k : x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{+}(x_{n}) - f'_{-}(x_{1}) &\geq f'_{+}(x_{n}) - f'_{-}(x_{n}) + f'_{-}(x_{n}) - f'_{-}(x_{1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} + f'_{+}(x_{n-1}) - f'_{-}(x_{1}) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{k} + f'_{+}(x_{n-2}) - f'_{-}(x_{1}) \\ & \cdots \\ &\geq \frac{n-1}{k} + f'_{+}(x_{1}) - f'_{-}(x_{1}) \\ &\geq \frac{n}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$n \le k \left(f'_+(x_n) - f'_-(x_1) \right) \le \frac{4Mk}{\delta}$$

When f is differentiable, Theorem 1.3 asserts that f' is increasing. The converse is also true.

Theorem 1.5. Let f be differentiable in I. It is convex if and only if f' is increasing.

Proof. Let $z = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \in [x, y]$. Applying the mean-value theorem to f there exist $c_1 \in (x, z)$ and $c_2 \in (z, y)$ such that

$$f(z) = f(x) + f'(c_1)(z - x),$$

and

$$f(y) = f(z) + f'(c_2)(y - z).$$

Using $f'(c_1) \leq f'(c_2)$ we get

$$\frac{f(z)-f(x)}{z-x} \leq \frac{f(y)-f(z)}{y-z},$$

which, after some computation, simplifies to yield

$$f(z) \le (1 - \lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y).$$

Theorem 1.6. Let f be twice differentiable in I. It is convex if and only if $f'' \ge 0$.

Proof. When f is convex, f' is increasing and so $f'' \ge 0$. On the other hand, $f'' \ge 0$ implies that f' is increasing and hence convex.

A function is *strictly convex* on I if it is convex and

$$f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) < (1-\lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y), \quad \forall x < y, \ \lambda \in (0,1).$$

From the proofs of the above two theorems we readily deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 1.7. The function f is strictly convex on I provided one of the followings hold:

- 1. f is differentiable and f' is strictly increasing; or
- 2. f is twice differentiable and f'' > 0.

By this proposition, one can verify easily that the following functions are strictly convex.

- $e^{\alpha x}$ where $\alpha \neq 0$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$,
- x^p where p > 1 or p < 0 on $(0, \infty)$.
- $-\log x$ on $(0,\infty)$.

Two concluding remarks are in order.

First, in some books convexity is defined by a weaker condition, namely, a function f on I is convex if it satisfies

$$f(\frac{x+y}{2}) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(f(x) + f(y) \right), \quad \forall x, y \in I.$$

$$(1.5)$$

Indeed, this implies

$$f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) \le (1-\lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in I,$$

provided f is continuous on I. However, this conclusion does not hold without continuity.

Second, for any convex function f on I, Jensen's inequality holds: Letting $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$,

$$f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) \le \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \dots + \lambda_n f(x_n).$$

When f is strictly convex, equality sign in this inequality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$. Many well-known inequalities including the AM-GM inequality and Hölder inequality are special cases of Jensen's inequality. Some of them can be found in Exercise 4.